

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/996,128	Applicant(s) HOUGHTON ET AL.	
	Examiner Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1643	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.

(3) ____

 (2) Marina Larson, Ph.D.

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 12 June 2007
Time: 5:30pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

20-23, 29 and 30

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner notified Applicant's representative, Dr. Larson the amendment after final (including a declaration) submitted February 27, 2007 would be entered and the previous advisory action mailed May 31, 2007 would be vacated and a review for allowable subject matter would ensue. Consequently, the Examiner has carefully reviewed the claimed invention and reconsidered Applicant's last submission and has set forth another advisory action. .